



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/596,847 | 06/27/2006 | Jasko Musacfendic | AP099-06 | 1292 |

29689 7590 03/13/2009
DAVID A. GUERRA
INTERNATIONAL PATENT GROUP, LLC
2025 17TH AVENUE N.W.
CALGARY, AB T2M 0S7
CANADA

| |
|----------|
| EXAMINER |
|----------|

STEELE, JENNIFER A

| | |
|----------|--------------|
| ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
|----------|--------------|

1794

| | |
|-----------|---------------|
| MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|-----------|---------------|

03/13/2009

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|---|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. 10/596,847 | Applicant(s) MUSAEFENDIC, JASKO | |
| | Examiner JENNIFER STEELE | Art Unit 1794 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JENNIFER STEELE. (3) Michael Greenberg.

(2) Elizabeth Cole. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: Claim 61.

Identification of prior art discussed: Neal.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant reviewed proposed claim amendments with the Examiners and the Applicants discussed the amendments with respect to the structure and the prior art of Neal. No agreement was reached as to allowability.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

| | |
|--|--|
| /Elizabeth M. Cole/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1794 | |
|--|--|